

---

**From:** Miyamoto, Faith  
**To:** 'Ted.Matley@dot.gov'  
**Sent:** 10/9/2009 3:43:12 PM  
**Subject:** RE: draft of Honolulu Transit PA

Hi Ted -

Thanks for all your efforts. Appreciate it very much.

Faith

-----Original Message-----

From: Ted.Matley@dot.gov [mailto:Ted.Matley@dot.gov]  
Sent: Friday, October 09, 2009 2:46 PM  
To: Miyamoto, Faith  
Subject: RE: draft of Honolulu Transit PA

Faith, given the strong involvement and high interest of the ACHP, I'm concerned about trying to have a close out meeting on a document that doesn't include comments by ACHP. Blythe has indicated that she won't have comments until the week of 10/19. I know these delays are damaging to your timeline but not having the consensus with ACHP will be even more damaging. I'll try to coordinate with Blythe and see what her schedule is again and we'll see what we can do..

Regarding the PA next steps, I'll have to confer with Jim as I'm not sure.

I still haven't gotten an answer from HQ on releasing that NS material to you, I'll try again next week.

Ted

---

From: Miyamoto, Faith [mailto:fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov]  
Sent: Fri 10/9/2009 12:28 PM  
To: Matley, Ted (FTA)  
Cc: Spurgeon, Lawrence  
Subject: RE: draft of Honolulu Transit PA

Hi Ted -

As of this morning, we only received one comment on the PA that was sent out a week ago. This comment had to do with naming the stations. Since we have not received any other comments, would it be possible to move the meeting day up to Tuesday, 10/20/09, 8:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. (Hawaii Time)? Having the meeting one day earlier will allow us to avoid a one week slip in production. Please let me know if we can advance the meeting date.

Continuing on the discussion regarding the PA, can you give me a brief description of what is going to happen with the PA once we have verbal agreement from the signatories and consulting parties? Does it go through an FTA legal review? Is there a protocol in the order of signatures? Blythe has said that ACHP signs last. Can we do counterpart signatures for the other signatories? We are trying to come up with a detailed schedule as to the steps involved in the Section 106 process and the FEIS process and so any information will be helpful.

Regarding Halealoha, I will respond to his emails as instructed.

AR00125519

Finally, I have not received the New Start ratings that we discussed a few weeks ago. Did my "full" mailbox bounce the message with this information? If it did, please send again so that we can complete Chapter 7.

Thanks.

Faith

---

From: Ted.Matley@dot.gov [mailto:Ted.Matley@dot.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, October 08, 2009 7:14 AM  
To: Miyamoto, Faith  
Subject: FW: draft of Honolulu Transit PA

Hi Faith, being that there are a lot of attendance conflicts with the preservation conference, and that Blythe won't have comments until the 19th, We'd like you to schedule for 10/21, or as soon after that as you can. Sorry, I know that's not the answer you were hoping for.

On the other issue, the email sent with their position on the AIS were just statement sent to the entire group, not directly to the FTA or even directly to the City. Unless they want to pose a question directly to FTA we've decided not to respond. If the City wants to send a simple email saying his email was received, discussed with FTA, and considered as part of the consultation process, that's fine. We don't suggest you go any further than those three sentences. We understand their position but aren't agreeing, and we don't want to get into an email discussion after all that was discussed already.

---

From: Blythe Semmer [mailto:bsemmer@achp.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, October 08, 2009 8:29 AM  
To: Matley, Ted (FTA)  
Subject: draft of Honolulu Transit PA

Ted:

I am out of the office and will be unable to provide comments on the most recent draft of the Honolulu transit PA until the week of the 19th.

Thanks,

Blythe

AR00125520

Blythe Semmer

ACHP

202.606.8552